# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

JNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	Criminal No.: H-97-93
	)	V
V.	)	Violations:
MADIZ ALDEDT MALOOF	)	4E I I C C C4
MARK ALBERT MALOOF,	)	15 U.S.C. §1
	)	18 U.S.C. § 371
Defendant.	)	FILED 6/13/97

### UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE ADDITIONAL MOTIONS

The United States, through the undersigned attorney, hereby responds to the defendant's Motion for Leave to File Additional Motions.

The government has no objection to the defendant's request for leave to file additional motions after the June 12, 1997, due date in the Court's scheduling order, provided that the extension applies to both parties.

In his Motion, the defendant indicates that the government is denying him discovery in this case. Motion at 1-2. The government stands ready to fulfill its obligations under Rule 16, Jencks, and <u>Brady</u>. Because the government believes that defense counsel are party to a joint defense agreement and would feel obligated to disclose material otherwise protected by Fed. R. Crim. P. 6 to targets and subjects of the government's ongoing grand jury investigation, the government has filed a Motion for Protective Order in this case. In addition, many of the subjects and targets of the ongoing grand jury investigation are also named defendants in the pending civil case before this Court, Caddell Const. Co., Inc. v. Hiplax Int'l Corp., et al., Master File

No. H-96-3490. Given the motion for an order to stay civil discovery pending before this Court in that case, release of criminal discovery without a protective order will severely undermine any such order by the Court as well as seriously compromise the ongoing grand jury investigation. Accordingly, the government has asked this Court to enter a protective order before discovery is made available to the defense.

In conclusion, the government does not object to the defendant's request for leave to file additional motions beyond the June 12, 1997 due date, provided that the extension applies to both parties.

Respectfully submitted,

\_/s/

MARK R. ROSMAN Attorney-in-Charge Florida State Bar No. 0964387 U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division 1601 Elm Street, Suite 4950 Dallas, Texas 75201-4717 (214) 880-9401

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

### **HOUSTON DIVISION**

Criminal No. H-97-93
for Leave to File Additional
efendant and the government to file
, 1997.
d States District Judge

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the United States' Response to Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Additional Motions was sent via Federal Express this \_\_\_\_\_ day of June, 1997, to:

J. Mark White, Esq. White, Dunn & Booker 1200 First Alabama Bank Building Birmingham, AL 32503

Albert C. Bowen, Esq. Beddow, Erben & Bowen, P.A Second Floor - 2019 Building 2019 3rd Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203

/s/

MARK R. ROSMAN Attorney-in-Charge Florida State Bar No. 0964387 U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division 1601 Elm Street, Suite 4950 Dallas, Texas 75201-4717 (214) 880-9401